e-Tender no.7100102947, dated- 21.08.2017, Pre-bid meeting held on dated-29.08.2017 at 12 noon.

Name of the Organization ABM Knowledgeware Ltd.

Sr. No.	BID DOCUMENT	Content of BID DOCUMENT requiring	Clarification Sought /Query	MCGM Final Decision
	reference (Section and	clarification		
	Page No)			
1	Section 5.1 S No. a Page No. 17	Consortium/ Joint Venture is allowed	Since this Contract value is much less than prescribed limit of Rs 100Cr for allowing consortium and it requires one single skill, Consortium should not be allowed. Also as this is a single work, i.e., implementation of a Property Tax software, so only firms those having experience in implementation of property tax software should be allowed. It has been seen before that consortiums are misused by some vendors to find back door entry into the bidding process without possessing necessary competence.	No Change
2	Section 5.1 S No. c Page No. 17	Average annual financial turnover during 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17 shall be of Rs.2 crores.	As per the standard bid document followed by MCGM, the turnover should be 3 times the estimated value of the Project, i.e., 45 Cr. Therefore we would request to kindly revise the clause as under; "Average annual financial turnover during 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17 shall be of Rs.45 crores."	No Change
3	Section 5.1 S No. d Page No. 17	Bidder should have positive net worth of INR 50 lacs as on 31st March 2017.	As per the standard bid document followed by MCGM, Bidder should have positive net worth of atleast 33% of the Estimated Value of the Project. Therefore we request you to kindly revise the clause as under. "Bidder should have positive net worth of INR 4.95 Cr as on 31st March 2017."	No Change

Section 5.1	The bidder should have experience in	As per the SBD (Standard Bid Document) of MCGM for	No Change
S No. f	implementation of property Tax/any	procurement of services we would like to highlight that much	INO CHAIRE
Page No. 18	revenue application for urban local body	importance is to be given on the criterias like Technical Capacity	
	and integrating the solution for data	and Similar work done. This would assist MCGM is selecting the	
	migration/digitization and training,	best eligible bidder for the desire work.	
	integrating with GIS, Payment Gateway,		
	etc. in minimum 1 project amounting to	Mentioned bleow are few examples refering to the standard bid	
	minimum Rs. 50 Lacs within last 3 years.	document;	
	The bidder should have office in MMR		
	region or ready to set up in 1 month of	MCGM's SBD (standard bid document) stipulates following	
	award of contract.	important guide lines. As such SBD is made for Civil, Roads,	
		Pipeline work etc When most relevant guidelines are adopted	
		and used the criteria may look as under:	
		i. Technical capacity: The tenderer(s) in their own name should	
		have satisfactorily executed the work of similar nature MCGM	
		/Semi Govt. /Govt. & Public Sector Organizations during last	
		seven (7) years ending last day of month previous to the one in	
		which bids are invited as a prime Contractor (or as a nominated	
		sub-Contractor, where the subcontract had involved similar	
		nature of work as described in the scope of works in this bid	
		document, provided further that all other qualification criteria	
		are satisfied).	
		ii. Similar work: The SBD has given examples of similar work for	
		RCC , CC Asphalt Road, Asphalt Road, Pipeline work etc. Same	
		principle is used while deciding similar work. Eg Similar work for	
		Building construction of building of 5 to 10 stories requires	

5	General	Addition of Technical Evaluation Criteria	There is no technical evaluation criteria in the RFP to shortlist	Please refer 21.3 of Page No. 27
			bidders based on their technical capabilities.	
			We understand approach is all vendors who qualify, their	
			commercial bids will be opened and L1 would be awarded the	
			bid. This method would have been okay had there been a fixed	
			scope and single option to deliver that scope. However, RFP	
			allows 3 different approaches (existing software, new custom	
			based software or SAP). All approaches will have distinct cost	
			structure. Comparison of bids with such multiple options	
			without technical evaluation would not be a fair method. Hence	
			we request MCGM to kindly include the technical evaluation	
			criteria pertaining to criterias like turnover, similar experiences,	
			no. of implementations, technical knowhow, bidders approach &	
			methodology to successfully implement the project, demo of the	
			proposed software, etc.	
			Below are few opinions based on our decades of experience in e-	
			Governance project implementation;	
			The software is for managing Property Tax generation &	
			Collection. It falls under category of Taxation like VAT , Sales Tax.	
			This requires specific knowledge of Taxation and not mere	
			programing	
			2. MCGM has annual demand of about Rs 5300 Cr, and total no	
			of properties are more than 3,50,000. So the bidder must posses	
			experiences similar to this scale to be able to actually ground the	
6	Section 5.2 Point a to n	List of documents mentioned under points	While RFP asks for the proof of experience to be attached, will	No
	. Page 18 and 19	a) to n). Specifically those related to	MCGM also verify correctness of experience related documents	
		customer experience .	from end customers?	

7	Section 13.1 Point no (i) Page 22	Completion (experience) certificates of projects obtained from customers with detailed scope of work and services carried out as mentioned above in the prequalification criteria. Experience certificates to be furnished along with the aforementioned in the format as provided in the BID DOCUMENT. And other documents from point a) to r)	While RFP asks for the proof of experience to be attached, will MCGM also verify correctness of experience related documents from end customers?	No
8	Secton 13.1, 13.2, 13.3 Page 22, 23	List of documents - Envelope A, B and C	RFP has given list of documents to be attached in Envelope A, B and C. If we miss to attach some of documents re experience or any such non-commercial criteria, is it ok if we submit such documents later	No Change
9	Section 32.1.2 Point 1.2.3 Page No. 43	Successful bidder is required to deploy minimum 14 resources for operation and maintenance and 14 resources for development but if needed sufficient number of resources to be deployed to meet the timelines specified in the tender.	In case 14 resources for operations and support neesd to travel between different MCGM Locations - please inform no. of Locations each person will need to support. Also mention total no of locations to be supported.	As per MCGM Direction within MCGM Limit
10	Section 36 Page No. 70	In both of the cases above, the detailed & point wise elaboration of costs are expected, e.g. cost for licenses, cost for development, training, etc.	The commercial format don't have any columns to provide these details. Please elaborate where and how these details are to be provided in the bid response.	Commercial format is all inclusive
11	Section 38.1.3 Page No. 72	The Bidder shall make changes in the software within a period of three months from the date of implementation as and when required by the department; such changes will be done without any additional charges.	If change requests are given after 3 months. How the bidder is to be paid for the additional efforts.	please refer 34.2 of page No. 44
12	Section 38.1.3 Point 6 c Page No. 73	Operations of help desk and refresher training (12*7) post implementation	We understand that this Helpdesk Support and training would be for the Department Staff. If so, please specify the officials hours/days in during which the support is to be provided. 12*7 support may not be requied	08.00 am to 08.00 pm

13	Section 38.1.3	Operations of help desk and refresher	We understand that this Helpdesk Support and training would	Help desk 08.00 am to 08.00 pm
	Point 6 c	training (12*7) post implementation	be for the Department Staff. If so, please specify the officials	
	Page No. 73		hours/days in during which the support is to be provided. 12*7	
			support may not be requied	Please refer 38.1.3 (5) of page No.
			What is the frequency of refresher training programs. On an	73
			average, how may persons will attend the refresher training.	
14	Section 40.4.2	Data migration may involve Extract,	We understand that the ETL tool required would be provided by	It is bidder's responsibility.
	Page No. 93	Transform, and Load (ETL) procedures to	MCGM.	
		move data from the system of origin into	Please Clarify	
		the new system or it may involve		
		integration links to make the data		
		transparently available to the user.		
15	Section 40.9	Maintenance and Support	Is there any scope of onsite and on premise support at the Ward	As and when required
	Page No. 98		Offices or only remote support is to be provided from the base	
			location.	
			If on premise support is to be provided, what is frequency of	
			support request.	
16	General	General	There may be huge price difference between option 1 & Option 2.	Please refer 21.5.1 of page no. 29
			How will MCGM standardise the evaluation process.	
17	Section 13.2	CVs of resources as per the format in Annex	The Annexure for the CV format is missing in the RFP. Can the	Format attached
	Page No. 23		bidder use the formats specified in the previous tender Property	
			Tax.	
			Also please specify, the details of resources required along with	
			no. of resources required, designation, experience and	
			qualification for which CVs would be submitted.	

18	Section 35.6 F	GCC 28.1.3 2 The successful bidder	Regest relook at this clause. Points like Installation of Hardware	Whatever is applicable as per
	Page 49	has to comply with following Seven (7)	& Networking Equipment for data entry, Security Management	tender.
		Service Level Agreements (SLAs) to ensure	and Network Availailibilty would not be applicable under this	
		adherence to project timelines, quality and	project	
		availability of services:		
		a. Timely Delivery		
		b. Correctness of Delivery		
		c. Installation of Hardware & Networking		
		Equipment for		
		data entry		
		d. Resolution Time		
		e. Security Management		
		f. Application Availability		
		g. Network Availaility		
19	Section 35.6 F	5 The maximum penalty at any point of	We request that upper limit for penalty should be 5% of the	No Change
13	Page 50		project cost	lvo Change
	l age 50	25% of outstanding project cost as per the	project cost	
		Commercial Bid submitted by the		
		successful bidder.		
20	Section 35.6 F		Hardware and other IT infrastrucure in not within the scope of	No Change
	Page 58	the following but not limited to:	this project. Hence these SLAs would not be applicable. Request	
		(a) Virus Attack – This shall include	you to relook and remove the same. Bidder should be	
		Malicious code infection of any of the	responsible only if there is very clear and undoubtful proof of	
		servers in the network.	the security breach due to issue in application software.	
		(b) Denial of Servce		
		(d) Intrusion – Successful /		
		unsuccessful unauthorized access to		
		MCGM Application/network resulting in		
		loss of confidentiality/Integrity/availability		
		of data		

21	Section 35.6 F	Database Server Uptime	These factors depend on hardware setup, DC and DR setup.	No Change
	Page 64	Application Server Uptime	Since this is not within the scope of this project, please relook at	
			these and remove the same from SLA	
		☑ All SAN Storage Uptime		
		Infrastructure Architecture		
		Disaster Recovery		
		RTO, RPO, Mock Drill		
22	Section 35.6 F	Severity 3 Issue (Low) - Resolution Time <=	We request that this should be changed as "Resolution Time <=	No Change
	Page 66	4 days from the time the complaint/query	4 days from the time the complaint/query is allocated for	
		is allocated for resolution by the helpdesk.	resolution by the helpdesk for 80% of the call logs"	
23	Section 35.6 F	Severity 2 Issue (Medium)- Resolution	We request that this should be changed as "Resolution Time <= 2	No Change
	Page 65	Time <= 2 day from the time the	day from the time the complaint/query is allocated for	
		complaint/query is allocated for resolution	resolution by the helpdesk for 80% of the call logs.	
		by the helpdesk.		
24	Section 38.2 Page 76	53 points and 2085 call logs	Compared to last RFP, We can see that scope is increased, Will	No Change
			MCGM revise the budget?	

sd/dt.04.09.2017 Assessor & Collector

Name of the Organization Vidarbha Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

Sr. No.	BID DOCUMENT reference (Section and Page No)	Content of BID DOCUMENT requiring clarification	Clarification Sought /Query	MCGM Final Decision
1	Section 35.6 f	-	Pages from 54 to 58 of the bid document are blank, request you	Page no 55 to 58 are blank
	Guarrantees and		to reproduce the same	pages.
	Liabilities;			
	Page no. 54 to 58			

sd/dt.04.09.2017 Assessor & Collector

Name of the Organization

Cyber Tech

Sr. No.	BID DOCUMENT reference (Section and Page No)	Content of BID DOCUMENT requiring clarification	Clarification Sought /Query	MCGM Final Decision
1		Work order & project completion certificate should be between 01-04-2014 to 31-03-2017.	Why the time frame has specifically mentioned till March 2017? Request to allow bidders to submit the completion certificate till date of submission of the bid as per the standard bidding Process	
2	34.2 Terms of Payment (GCC Clause 12)	Option 2 (a) No invoicing for running existing software for 6 months (b) (i) On submission of SRS - 10% (ii) On Implementation - 30% (iii) After operational acceptance - 20% (iv) After stabilization period - 10% (v)Quarterly invoicing for post implementation period of 3rd, 4th, and 5th year. a. Post Implementation support for 3rd year 10% b. Post Implementation support for 4th year 10% c. Post Implementation support for 5th year 10% (c) For the development during the period of 5 years (i) On submission of SRS - 10% (ii) On deployment - 50% (iii) On operational acceptance - 20% (iv) After stabilization period - 20%		It is for Option 2

3	46. Pricing	Development of uncompleted tasks mentioned at sr no 38.2.1.2 of the scope of work and maintaining existing software for 5 years (60 months) Or Providing a new IT solution in respect of the scope of work mentioned at sr no 38 of the scope of work	Provision for breakdown of development is absent. How does the Pricing matched with Option 2 payment terms in 34.2 Terms of Payment (GCC Clause 12)	Uncompleted task is for Option 1
4	46. Pricing	Estimated man days in respect of uncompleted tasks and development cost during operational acceptance and O&M period	Does 2376 Man days include support hours ?	2376 Man days are only for uncompleted task and changes during 5 years of maintainance for Option 1. Only for new changes during 5 years for Option 2.
5	38.2.1 Functional Scope for the bidders who intend to bid for maintaining existing software as per option 1 at sr no 36(A)	List of uncompleted tasks	Does the items listed uncompleted tasks has to be the part of the solution if the bidder is going for Option 2 ?	Applicable for Option 1
6	41. Integration Services	41.3 Document Management System (DMS)	Which data/services from Property Tax system needs to integrated with DMS?	SAP system or any DMS opted by MCGM
7	22. Law Module – Integration with Law department	Law Module – Integration with Law department i) Small Causes Court ii) City Civil Court iii) High Court iv) Supreme Court	What type of services needs to be integrated with current system?	In respect of pending Court cases.

Note: As per AMC(P) Santion AMC/Project/2505 dt.04.09.2017, Circular No.CA/Project/City/16 dt.31.08.2017 will be applicable for GST Implimentation. (Copy enclosed)